

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | . ] i                 | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/649,026         | 10/649,026 08/27/2003 |                  | Paul K. Meeker       | 20341-72213           | 5119             |
| 23643              | 7590                  | 02/14/2006       | •                    | EXAMINER              |                  |
| BARNES<br>11 SOUTH | <del>-</del>          | - · <del>-</del> | CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C   |                       |                  |
| INDIANAI           |                       |                  |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |
| ·                  |                       |                  |                      | 3634                  |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)    | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/649,026         | MEEKER, PAUL K. |              |  |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit        |              |  |  |
| Alvin C. Chin-Shue | 3634            |              |  |  |

| Patara the Eiling of an Annaal Priof  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Alvin C. Chin-Shue   | 3634   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | R ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo                 | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C            | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | 36(a) and the appropria<br>of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi | te extension fee<br>iate extension fee<br>ice action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  **MENDMENTS**                                    |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered b  | ecause  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  |  | <i>,</i> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  |  | ected claims.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  |  | (DTOL 00.4)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |  | impliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | Il be entered and an o   | explanation of  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | at before or on the date of filing a N<br>d sufficient reasons why the affidat   | otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u><br>vit or other evidence i                          | ot be entered<br>s necessary and                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa   | ils to provide a  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  | on of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attac   | hed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa   | nce because:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  | No(s)  | a)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  Other:   |  | MAR  | C   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Alvin C. Chin-Shue   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Art Unit: 3634   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment to claim 7 would require further consideration and may require a change in the application of the prior art.

Alvin Chin-Shue Primary Examiner